

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action

Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

Facility Name: International Paper Company, Non-Treated Wood Products (TWP) Area
Facility Address: 10 International Way, Longview, Washington
Facility EPA ID #: WAD 010745917

1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the groundwater media, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?

☒ **X** If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.

☐ If no - re-evaluate existing data, or

☐ if data are not available, skip to #8 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future. ☐

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains **ONLY** to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database **ONLY** as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

2. Is **groundwater** known or reasonably suspected to be “**contaminated**”¹ above appropriately protective “levels” (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?

 X If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate “levels,” and referencing supporting documentation.

 If no - skip to #8 and enter “YE” status code, after citing appropriate “levels,” and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not “contaminated.”

 If unknown - skip to #8 and enter “IN” status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): The former International Paper facility was located on the north side of the Columbia River, approximately 66 miles upriver from the Pacific Ocean. The former facility is located less than two miles downstream of the confluence of the Columbia and Cowlitz rivers. The former facility lies within the 100-year floodplain but is protected by control levees.

International Paper operated a wood treatment facility at this location from 1956 to 1983. The Treated Wood Product (TWP) area, the site of the former wood treatment operation at the former southwestern corner of the International Paper facility, encompassed the retort building, associated structures (e.g., tanks, sheds, water treatment facilities, and the locations of former Ponds 1 and 2). The original International Paper facility was approximately 900 acres. The former TWP area consists of approximately 4 acres; the rest of the original International Paper facility is called the non-TWP area and includes a number of solid waste management units (SWMUs) and areas of concern (AOCs) identified in the 1991 RCRA facility assessment (RFA) report.

SWMU 6 (Site C) is the only SWMU in the non-TWP area investigated for groundwater contamination. Site C is located at the eastern edge of the former International Paper facility and was reportedly used for the disposal of various wastes and liquids. International Paper investigated Site C in October 1996. The investigation determined that there were residual concentrations of carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (cPAH) compounds, pentachlorophenol, bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, arsenic, barium, and copper in soil at concentrations exceeding MTCA residential groundwater protection standards. Levels of arsenic and bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate in groundwater exceeded MTCA residential groundwater standards. Based on the results of that investigation and subsequent groundwater modeling that indicated that MTCA residential groundwater standards would not be exceeded at the boundary of Site C, Ecology determined that a deed restriction was required to prohibit extraction of groundwater in the vicinity of Site C. The deed restriction was filed with the Cowlitz County auditor in February 2000.

In a consent decree filed August 18, 1997, Ecology determined that the following SWMUs and AOCs in the non-TWP area identified in the 1991 RFA report require no further investigation or implementation of remedial measures: SWMU 2 (Long Bell Cabinet Ditch), SWMU 3 (Infiltration Trench), SWMU 4 (Ditch 2), SWMU 6 (Site C), SWMU 7 (Wood Pulp Discharge Area), SWMU 8 (Drum Burial Area), SWMU 9 (Retort Loadout Area), SWMU 10 (Poleyard), SWMU 19 (Pipe from API Separator to Recovery Pond 1), SWMU 20 (Pipe from Recovery Pond 1 to Recovery Pond 2), SWMU 23 (Drum Storage Area 1), SWMU 24 (Drum Storage Area 2), SWMU 25 (Cabinet Factory Solvent Storage Area), SWMU 26 (Cabinet Factory Cleanup Temporary Storage), SWMU 27 (Storage Tanks), SWMU 29 (Elevated Diesel Fuel Tank), SWMU 30 (Solvent Tanks), SWMU 32 (Plywood Treatment Area), SWMU 33 (Flakeboard Plant), SWMU 34 (Cabinet Factory), MIBK Tank, and Maintenance Shop (3.5 acre parcel).

References:

- ***RCRA Facility Assessment Preliminary Review, International Paper Company, Longview, Washington, EPA I.D. No WAD010745917***; May 1991
- ***Investigation of Site C (SWMU 6) at the International Paper, Longview, Washington Facility***; February 1997
- Consent Decree No. 972010889 between the State of Washington and International Paper Company, filed in the Superior Court of Cowlitz County; August 18, 1997
- Letter from RueAnn Thomas (International Paper) to Howard Steeley (Department of Ecology); December 23, 1997; response to Ecology's comments in a letter dated April 4, 1997, regarding the report of the investigation of SWMU 6 (Site C)

Footnotes:

¹“Contamination” and “contaminated” describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate “levels” (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

3. Has the **migration** of contaminated groundwater **stabilized** (such that contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within “existing area of contaminated groundwater”² as defined by the monitoring locations designated at the time of this determination)?

☒ **X** If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the “existing area of groundwater contamination”²).

☐ If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the “existing area of groundwater contamination”²) - skip to #8 and enter “NO” status code, after providing an explanation.

☐ If unknown - skip to #8 and enter “IN” status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): Based on the results of that investigation and subsequent groundwater modeling that indicated that MTCA residential groundwater standards would not be exceeded at the boundary of Site C, Ecology determined that a deed restriction was required to prohibit extraction of groundwater in the vicinity of Site C. The deed restriction was filed with the Cowlitz County auditor in February 2000.

² “existing area of contaminated groundwater” is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of “contamination” that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all “contaminated” groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of “contaminated” groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

4. Does "contaminated" groundwater **discharge** into **surface water** bodies?

_____ If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.

___**X**___ If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.

_____ If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): Groundwater modeling conducted after the investigation of Site C indicated that MTCA residential groundwater standards would not be exceeded at the boundary of Site C. (See: Letter from RueAnn Thomas (International Paper) to Howard Steeley (Department of Ecology); December 23, 1997; response to Ecology's comments in a letter dated April 4, 1997, regarding the report of the investigation of Site C.)

-

_____ If no - (the discharge of “contaminated” groundwater into surface water is potentially significant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration³ of each contaminant discharged above its groundwater “level,” the value of the appropriate “level(s),” and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations³ greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater “levels,” the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.

Rationale and

Reference(s):

This image shows a full page of blank primary-ruled paper. It features multiple sets of horizontal lines designed for handwriting practice. Each set consists of a solid top blue line, a dashed middle blue line, and a solid bottom blue line. These sets are repeated down the entire page, providing ample space for practicing letter formation and alignment. The margins are consistent throughout.

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

³ As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

⁴ Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface

water bodies.

⁵ The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

- 7.

X

Rationale and

Reference(s): _____

[illegible]



**Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)**

8. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).

☒ **YE** - Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI determination, it has been determined that the "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater" is "Under Control" at the International Paper facility, Non-Treated Wood Products Area , EPA ID # WAD 010745917, located at 10 International Way, Longview, Washington. Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of contaminated groundwater" This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.

☐ **NO** - Unacceptable migration of contaminated groundwater is observed or expected.

☐ **IN** - More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by _____ Date _____
Kaia Petersen
Hydrogeologist

Supervisor _____ Date _____
K Seiler
Supervisor, Hazardous Waste and Toxics Reduction Section
Washington State Department of Ecology, Southwest Region

Locations where References may be found:

Central files at the Department of Ecology's Southwest Regional Office, 300 Desmond Drive,
Lacey, Washington

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

Kaia Petersen
(360) 407-6359
kpet461@ecy.wa.gov